[2nd November 1931

Appendix III.

[Vide answer to question No. 458 asked by Rao Sahib B. Venkataramayya at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 2nd November 1931, page 348 supra.]

Comparative statement of suits and appeals in the High Court and Subordinate Civil Courts in the Presidency.

High Court.

Suits.			Appeals.		
1929.	1930.	1931.	1929.	1930.	1931.
154	169	185	920	966	871

Subordinate Civil Courts.

Suits.

1929.		1930.		1931.	
O.S.	S.C.	O.S.	S.C.	O.S.	S.C.
28,370	48,359	25,876	48,756	22,261	59,906

Appeals.

Appeals.	C.M. As.	Appeals.	C.M. As.	Appeals.	C.M. As.
2,986	36	2,871	34	2,489	39

Appendix IV.

[Vide answer to question No. 475 asked by Pandit Ganala Ramamurti at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 2nd November 1931, page 358 supra.]

* 1747 *Q.*—Mr. A. Harischandrudu Nayudu: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) the loss of revenue incurred by the Government every year during the last five years on account of the silting up of the bed of the Godavari river in the neighbourhood of the Godavari railway bridge;

(*b*) whether any attempts have been made to remove the sand thus deposited; and, if so, when and with what result; and

(*c*) whether there is any correspondence between this Government and the Government of India regarding the Godavari railway bridge and its effect on the irrigation of the delta; and, if so, whether a copy of it can be placed on the table of the House?

TAMIL NADU LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

2nd November 1931]

A.—(*a*) The Government are not aware that there has been any loss of revenue on this account.

(*b*) Steps have been taken to wash away as far as possible the sand shoals above the railway bridge at Rajahmundry and estimates for Rs. 10,200 and Rs. 4,350 have recently been executed. Nanal and other growth is being uprooted in the various shoals up to the anicut and the soil loosened to encourage the scouring action of flood water.

(*c*) There has been no such correspondence recently.

Mr. A. Harischandrudu Nayudu:—"With reference to the answer to clause (*c*), may I ask the hon. the Revenue Member whether there was any correspondence at any time, and, if so, whether he will be pleased to place it on the table of the House?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell:—"I believe there was some discussion at the time when the railway bridge was being constructed, but I do not remember to have seen any correspondence about it. The hon. Member will find considerable information in a note on this subject which was placed on the table of the House in answer to a question about the 1st of February 1929."

Mr. A. Harischandrudu Nayudu:—"May I ask the hon. the Revenue Member whether Government do not apprehend danger to irrigation by the continual silting up due to the existence of the railway bridge at the present place?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell:—"I cannot say it is due to that. The silting up is probably due more to the anicut than to the railway bridge."

வாய்மையே வெல்லும்
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

Appendix V.

[Vide answer to question No. 489 asked by Mr. M. A. Manikkavelu Nayakar at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 2nd November 1931, page 369 supra.]

G.O. Ms. No. 852, Public, dated 18th August 1931.

In Memorandum No. 3598/25-3, Public, dated 31st January 1927, the following classes of unpassed clerks in the Registration department were brought under paragraph 3 of G.O. No. 949, Public, dated 5th November 1926:—

Class I.—Four hundred and eighty-seven clerks appointed before 1st March 1921, who were exempted up to specified limits of pay in the old graded scale of pay, seventeen by the Government and the rest by the Inspector-General of Registration.